

From: [Brad and Gerita Kreft](#)
To: [FamiliesCommunities Committee](#)
Subject: Bill 203
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:49:01 AM

To whom it may concern

I hope were not too late too give our input on this bill. We run a small diesel mechanic shop close to Lethbridge. We have a few concerns regarding this bill. First, most of our jobs are already quoted jobs. For example an injector job for a certain truck is worth \$3500. We always get approval from the customer first. But, in order to give them a written quote every time it would add cost to the customer. It seems an unnecessary use of time since we already get approval for every job. Also a thought regarding this, would we have to write up a quote to diagnose a truck every time when that's obviously why the truck is at the shop?

Regarding the return of the original parts, we always keep the original part for the customer to keep. This way we can show the customer the problem. The only problem with this is a lot of parts have a core charge on them. For a set of injectors we can have up to \$2400 a set of core charge. If we have to return the parts to the customer, we would have to add this cost to the customers bill.

This bill seems to be trying to solve a problem that isn't there. Both of the main parts to the bill will just add cost to the customer.

Hope this helps.

Brad and Gerita Kreft